

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1010077 DATE: <u>3/30/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:05</u> DEPART: <u>11:30</u>			
FACILITY NAME: RINKER MATERI OF FL INC	DBA KEYS CONCRET			
FACILITY LOCATION: 11913 SR 54				
ODESSA				
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JEFFREY PORTER	PHONE: (561)820-8415			
CONTACT NAME: Hank Blecher	PHONE:			
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTI	ITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/28/2006 / 5/28/2011 (end date)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u>	(check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-CO	OMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIR (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
Stack Emissions				
62-297, F.A.C.)?	this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	Į!		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No				
	chers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment			
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visib 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust c	chers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment ble emissions to 5 percent opacity?	∐Yes □ No		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visib3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust c at a rate that is representative of the normal silo unless such rate is unachievable in practice?	chers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment ble emissions to 5 percent opacity? collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted o loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate	Yes □ No		
 controlled to the extent necessary to limit visib 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust c at a rate that is representative of the normal silo unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question is "Yes". 	chers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment ble emissions to 5 percent opacity? collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted to loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then	⊴Yes □ No e, ⊴Yes □ No		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visib 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust c at a rate that is representative of the normal sild unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question 5 skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5	chers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment ble emissions to 5 percent opacity? collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted to loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then 5.)	No		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visib 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust of at a rate that is representative of the normal silounless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test, was the batching operation to the silound the properties of the silound test.	chers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment ble emissions to 5 percent opacity? collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted to loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate or operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then 5.)	<pre></pre>		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visib 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust c at a rate that is representative of the normal silo unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question is "Yes", then continue on to question a) Was the batching operation in operation dur b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching?	chers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment bele emissions to 5 percent opacity?	<pre></pre>		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visib 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust c at a rate that is representative of the normal silo unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question is "Yes", then continue on to question a) Was the batching operation in operation dur b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching? 5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) of from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions	chers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment ble emissions to 5 percent opacity? collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted to loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate or operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then 5.)	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	he ⊠Yes □ No			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	Yes □ No			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes □ No				
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	the ⊠Yes □ No			
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)	e 🗌			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, 				
 (check ppropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ponly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	Yes			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	Yes			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	Yes			

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
Unconfined Emissions (Dul. 62 206 220(4)(a) E.A.C.)				
	<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by:	asonable precautions to control uncommed			
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \overline Yes \overline No				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control				
emissions?		- ⊠Yes □ No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind br				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emi	ssions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes ∐ No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rule	e 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace		□Yes ⊠ No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differen		Dv. Dv.		
recent notification form? Yes No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?		□Yes □ No		
local program office.				
Neal B. Janis	3/30/07			
		<u> </u>		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	1 year			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	<u> </u>		
	TI T			
COMMENTS:				